Discovery potential with early LHC data for *R*-parity violating mSUGRA with stau-LSP based on arXiv:1008.1580 [hep-ph]

Klaus Desch<sup>1</sup> Herbi Dreiner<sup>1,2</sup> <u>Sebastian Fleischmann</u><sup>1</sup> Sebastian Grab<sup>3</sup> Peter Wienemann<sup>1</sup>

universitätbonn

<sup>1</sup>Physics Institute, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany



<sup>2</sup>Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz

27th August 2010 12

RPV mSUGRA with ữ LSP Outline

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



1 Introduction to RPV SUSY

- 2 RPV mSUGRA benchmark scenarios
- 3 Event Selection
- 4 Parameter Scans and discovery potential
- 5 Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass
- 6 Tau reconstruction
- 7 Summary and Outlook



# *R*-parity violating terms A short reminder and introduction

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

### RPV SUSY

**RPV** scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



 Most general fully-renormalizable gauge invariant terms in the MSSM superpotential: Introduce baryon number (B) or lepton number (L) violating couplings





### *R*-parity violating terms Proton decay and other consequences

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

### RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook



- Introduction of B and L violating couplings leads to rapid proton decay
- ► It is sufficient to suppress  $\Delta L \neq 0$  or  $\Delta B \neq 0$  terms to keep the proton stable
- ► Sparticles can be produced singly, possible on resonance
- Neutrino masses can be generated
- ► The lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is not stable anymore
  - The LSP is no dark matter (DM) candidate (but potential other DM candidates, e.g. Axino)
  - ► The LSP can be charged
- From existing precision measurements: Strong bounds on RPV couplings
  - Mass spectrum and production of SUSY particles not changed significantly by introduction of RPV couplings



## Benchmark points in $\mathcal{R}_p$ mSUGRA

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

### **RPV** scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



- τ̃-LSP in broad range of mSUGRA parameter space
- Common feature, independent of RPV coupling: X̃<sup>0</sup><sub>1</sub> → τ̃τ
- Additional leptons may come from RPV stau decays
- Generic signature: Multi-lepton/tau final states + jets

## LSP in no-scale mSUGRA



Allanach, Dedes, Dreiner, Phys. Rev. D69 115002 Mass and nature of the LSP in no-scale mSUGRA:  $M_0 = A_0 = 0$ . Dashed lines show contours of lightest Higgs mass



## Benchmark points in $\mathcal{R}_p$ mSUGRA

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

### **RPV** scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



- ► Benchmark points with  $\tilde{\tau}^{\pm}$  LSP proposed by Allanach et al.  $A_0 = M_0 = 0 @M_{GUT}$   $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu) = +1$   $\tan \beta = 13$ ,  $M_{1/2} = 400 \text{GeV}$
- $\tilde{\tau}$  is LSP,  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  is NNNLSP
- $\lambda$  or  $\lambda'$  coupling
- expected cross section  $@\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{TeV}:$  $\sigma = 0.28 \text{pb}$



Allanach, Dedes, Dreiner, Phys. Rev. D69 115002 Mass and nature of the LSP in no-scale mSUGRA:  $M_0 = A_0 = 0$ . Dashed lines show contours of lightest Higgs mass



## RPV mSUGRA benchmark scenario BC 1

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

### **RPV** scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook



- $\lambda_{121}(M_{GUT}) = 0.032$  $(L_1 L_2 \overline{E}_1 \text{ coupling})$
- ► Leads to 4-body decay of the  $\tilde{\tau}$ -LSP:  $\tilde{\tau}^{\pm}_{1} \rightarrow \tau^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \ell'^{\pm} v$









### BC 1: Number of objects per event after ATLAS standard object selection and overlap removal; Delphes detector simulation, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

#### Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup









• Difference in  $e^{\pm}$ ,  $\mu^{\pm}$  due to  $\lambda_{121}$ 



### BC 1: Jet and lepton momenta scaled to $\int Ldt = 1 \text{fb}^{-1}$ , $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ Delphes simulation

RPV **mSUGRA** with # I SP

Sebastian Fleischmann

**RPV SUSY** 

**RPV** scenarios

#### Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discoverv potential

Stau Invariant Mass

reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



Scalar sum of jet momenta  $HT' = \sum_{jet1-4} p_T$ 





Estimation of









### BC 1: Cut Flow scaled to $\int Ldt = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ Delphes simulation

RPV mSUGRA	cut	all SM	BC 1	$S/\sqrt{B}$
with $\tilde{\tau}$ LSP	before cuts	2 260 000	283	0.2
Sebastian	$p_T(1$ st $\mu^{\pm}) >$ 40 GeV	320 000	142	0.3
Fleischmann	$p_T(1 { m st} \ e^{\pm}) > 32 { m ~GeV}$	1 800	126	2.9
o!!	$p_T(2nd \ e^{\pm}) > 7 \ GeV$	185	114	8.4
Outline	$\sum p_T^{\ell} > 230 \text{ GeV}$	15.1	86	22.0
RPV SUSY	$\overline{HT'} > 200 \text{ GeV}$	6.1	60	24.3
RPV scenarios	HT' > 300  GeV	3.4	57	30.7
Event	HT' > 400  GeV	$\lesssim 1$	53	

- Parameter Scans and discovery potential
- Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook



- At  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV possible to select (nearly) background free samples at high signal efficiency
- QCD contribution assumed to be negligible
- Most important background:  $t\overline{t}$



# Parameter scan around BC 1 Delphes simulation, $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{TeV}$ , $\int L dt = 1 \text{fb}^{-1}$

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

#### Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup









#### Selection efficiency an(B) 0.22 0.20 35 0.18 30 -0.16 -0.14 25 -0.12 20 -0.10 0.08 15 0.06 10 0.04550 600 350 400 450 500 M1, [GeV]







### Parameter scan around BC 1 Required luminosity for $5\sigma$ discovery Delphes simulation, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



 Estimated required luminosity for discovery depends strongly on uncertainty of the background estimate





- Systematic uncertainties need detailed study using full detector simulation and data driven methods
- Compared various significance definitions w/ and w/o systematic uncertainty



## Stau Invariant Mass at Generator Level in BC 1

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook



- Investigate feasibility of invariant mass estimation
- Use only "best combination" per event:
  - **1** Search for  $(p_T$ -)hardest tau (independent of tau charge).
  - 2 Search for nearest positive and nearest (here  $\Delta R$ ) negative lepton.
- ▶ Reject combination, if  $\Delta R(\tau, \ell^+)$  or  $\Delta R(\tau, \ell^-) > 1.5$
- For (unphysical) same sign combinations use the best matching and second best matching lepton of each charge.





# Stau Invariant Mass at Generator Level in BC 1 $_{\mbox{Results in parameter scan}}$

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

#### Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook





- Take points from parameter scan
- Calibration curve to get stau mass estimate from observable (10% value of truncated Gaussian fit)





## Tau reconstruction in BC 1

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

**RPV SUSY** 

**RPV** scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

#### Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



 $\eta$ - $p_T$  distribution of taus (MC visible momentum)



- ▶ very low p<sub>T</sub> taus
- large overlaps between taus and other objects from SUSY decay chain
- Tau ID efficiency strongly reduced compared to "simple" event topologies





## RPV mSUGRA benchmark scenario BC 2

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

Backup



• 
$$\lambda'_{311}(M_{GUT}) = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-7} \ (L_3 Q_1 \overline{D}_1 \ \text{coupling})$$

 $\blacktriangleright\,$  Leads to 2-body decay of the  $\tilde{\tau}\text{-LSP:}\,\,\tilde{\tau}_1\to\bar{u}d$ 



- Less taus as in BC 1, no leptons from the RPV decay, but  $\tilde{\tau}$ -mass (in principle) fully reconstructable
  - ► Leptonic tau decays can be used for selection
  - Study done at  $\sqrt{s} = 10$  TeV, currently repeated at 7 TeV
- BC 1 and BC 2 are two extreme cases of the RPV couplings in terms of the phenomenology of the resulting final states



RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

RPV SUSY

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook



- ► *R*-parity violation opens mSUGRA parameter space to other LSPs as X<sup>0</sup><sub>1</sub>
- Different  $\mathcal{R}_p$  couplings lead to various collider signatures
- ► BC 1  $(\tilde{\tau}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \ell'^{\pm} \nu)$  and BC 2  $(\tilde{\tau}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow qq)$  scenarios are phenomenological "extreme cases" of RPV models with  $\tilde{\tau}$ -LSP
- ► BC 1 scenario easy to discover; clean signal sample
  - Parameter scan (in M<sub>1/2</sub> and tanβ) around the benchmark point shows sufficient cut efficiency
  - Reconstruction of  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  mass difficult in BC 1, but mass estimate possible with few years data
  - Tau ID experimentally challenging (low-p<sub>T</sub> taus and overlaps between tau jets and other particles)





### Decay spectrum of BC 1 Mass spectrum not to scale!



Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

**RPV SUSY** 

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook





## Mass spectrum of BC 1

m [GeV]

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

**RPV SUSY** 

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

### Backup





FIG. 9 (color online). Sparticle spectrum for no-scale mSUGRA parameter set:  $M_{1/2} = 400$  GeV,  $\tan \beta = 13$ ,  $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu) = +1$ , and  $\Lambda = 0$ .



## Branching rations in BC 1

RPV mSUGRA with ĩ LSP

#### Sebastian Fleischmann

Outline

**RPV SUSY** 

RPV scenarios

Event Selection

Parameter Scans and discovery potential

Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

Tau reconstruction

Summary and Outlook

### Backup



	mass [GeV]	channel	BR	channel	BR
$\tilde{\tau}_1^-$	148	$\mu^+ e^- \tau^- \bar{\nu}_e$	32.2%	$e^+e^-\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$	32.1%
		$\mu^- e^+ \tau^- \nu_e$	17.9~%	$e^-e^+\tau^-\nu_e$	17.8%
$\tilde{e}_R^-$	161	$e^-\nu_e$	50%	$\mu^-\nu_e$	50~%
$\tilde{\mu}_R^-$	161	$\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{+}\mu^{-}\tau^{-}$	51.2%	$\tilde{\tau}_1^- \mu^- \tau^+$	48.7%
$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	162	$\tilde{\tau}_1^+ \tau^-$	49.8%	$\tilde{\tau}_1^- \tau^+$	49.8%
$\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$	261	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \nu_{\tau}$	67.2%	$W^+ \tilde{\tau}_1^-$	32.8%
$\tilde{\nu}_e(\tilde{\nu}_\mu)$	262	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \nu_e(\nu_\mu)$	92.4%	$e^{-}\mu^{+}(e^{+})$	7.5%
$\tilde{e}_L^-(\tilde{\mu}_L^-)$	274	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}e^{-}(\mu^{-})$	91.9%	$e^- \bar{\nu}_e(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$	8.1%
$\tilde{\tau}_2^-$	278	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\tau^{-}$	63.0%	$\tilde{\tau}_1^- Z$	17.6%
		$h^0 \tilde{\tau}_1^-$	19.4%		
$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$	303	$\tilde{\nu}_{\tau} \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$	9.1%	$\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}^* \nu_{\tau}$	9.1%
		$\tilde{\tau}_1^- \tau^+$	9.1%	$\tilde{\tau}_1^+ \tau^-$	9.1%
		$\tilde{\nu}_e \bar{\nu}_e$	8.5%	$\tilde{\nu}_e^* \nu_e$	8.5%
		$\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$	8.5%	$\tilde{\nu}^*_{\mu}\nu_{\mu}$	8.5%
		$\tilde{e}_L^- e^+$	4.5%	$\tilde{e}_L^+ e^-$	4.5%
		$\tilde{\mu}_L^- \mu^+$	4.5%	$\tilde{\mu}_L^+ \mu^-$	4.5%
		$\tilde{\tau}_2^- \tau^+$	3.1%	$\tilde{\tau}_2^+ \tau^-$	3.1%
		$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}h$	3.5%		
$\tilde{\chi}_1^-$	303	$\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}\tau^{-}$	20.2%	$\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}\mu^{-}$	18.6%
		$\tilde{\nu}_e e^-$	18.6%	$\tilde{\tau}_1^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$	16.7~%
		$\tilde{e}_L^- \bar{\nu}_e$	8.1%	$\tilde{\mu}_L^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	8.1%
		$\tilde{\tau}_2 \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$	5.5%	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0 W^-$	4.0%
$\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}$	514	$\tilde{\chi}_1^- W^+$	28.9%	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ W^-$	28.9%
		$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}Z$	24.1%	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}Z$	10.2%
		$\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h$	1.8%	$\tilde{\tau}_1^- \tau^+$	1.0%
		$\tilde{\tau}_1^+ \tau^-$	1.0%		
$\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}$	529	$\tilde{\chi}_1^- W^+$	26.5%	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ W^-$	26.5%
		$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}h$	17.5%	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}h$	7.1%
		$\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$	1.8%	$\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}^* \nu_{\tau}$	1.8%
		$\tilde{\nu}_e \bar{\nu}_e$	1.8%	$\tilde{\nu}_e^* \nu_e$	1.8%
		$\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$	1.8%	$\tilde{\nu}^*_{\mu}\nu_{\mu}$	1.8%
		$\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{-}\tau^{+}$	1.7%	$\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{+}\tau^{-}$	1.7%

<u> </u>		[C-V]	-h-m-l	DD	-h-m-l	DD
~ -	mass	Gevj	~01U-	DR 00.007	~ - 7	05.007
$\chi_2$	0,	52	$\chi_2 W$	28.3%	$\chi_1 Z$ ~0 $W^-$	20.3%
			$\chi_1 h$	19.8%	$\chi_{\tilde{1}}W$	8.1%
			$\tau_2 \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$	4.4%	$\tilde{e}_L \tilde{\nu}_e$	3.7%
			$\tilde{\mu}_L \bar{\nu}_\mu$	3.7%	$\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}^{*}\tau^{-}$	2.8%
			$\tilde{\nu}_{e}^{*}e^{-}$	1.6%	$\tilde{\nu}^*_{\mu}\mu^-$	1.6%
$\tilde{t}_1$	6	17	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ b$	44.0%	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}t$	23.7%
			$\tilde{\chi}_2^+ b$	17.0%	$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}t$	15.4%
$\tilde{b}_1$	78	30	$\tilde{\chi}_1^- t$	36.0%	$\tilde{\chi}_2^- t$	25.2%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}b$	22.0%	$W^-\tilde{t}_1$	12.0%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}b$	2.4%	$\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}b$	1.2%
$\tilde{b}_2$	8	16	$\tilde{\chi}_2^- t$	40.8%	$\tilde{t}_1 W^-$	15.2~%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}b$	12.7%	$\tilde{\chi}_1^- t$	10.0%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}b$	8.6%	$\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}b$	6.7%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}b$	6.0%		
$\tilde{t}_2$	8	35	$\tilde{\chi}_4^0 t$	23.5%	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ b$	23.0%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{+}b$	15.0~%	$\tilde{t}_1 Z$	12.3%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}t$	9.6~%	$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}t$	9.6~%
			ht	5.7%	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}t$	2.3 %
$\tilde{d}_R(\tilde{s}_R)$	8	55	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	99.4%		
$\tilde{u}_R(\tilde{c}_R)$	83	22	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}u(c)$	99.4%		
$\tilde{u}_L(\tilde{c}_L)$	8	52	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ d(s)$	64.6%	$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}u(c)$	31.8%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{+}d(s)$	1.5%	$\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}u(c)$	1.1%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}u(c)$	1.0%		
$\tilde{d}_L(\tilde{s}_L)$	8	55	$\tilde{\chi}_1^- u(c)$	61.6%	$\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}d(s)$	31.8%
			$\tilde{\chi}_2^- u(c)$	3.8%	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}d(s)$	1.8%
			$\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}d(s)$	1.4%		
ĝ	93	32	ĝą	25.0%	$\tilde{q}^{*}q$	25.0%
			$\tilde{t}_1 \bar{t}$	9.5%	$\tilde{t}_1^* t$	9.5%
			$\tilde{b}_1 \bar{b}$	7.7%	$\tilde{b}_{1}^{*}b$	7.7%
			$\tilde{b}_2 \bar{b}$	5.2%	$\tilde{b}_2^* b$	5.2%

TABLE VII: SUSY mass spectrum and branching ratios (BRs) of the benchmark scenario BC1 [18]. Only only decays with a BR of at least 1% are shown. R-parity violating decays are bold face.



RPV : RPV : Event

Selection Parameter Scans and discovery potential Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass

## Event selection cuts and significances

RPV mSUGRA	cut	all SM	BC 1	$S/\sqrt{B}$	Zo
with 7 LSP	before cuts	2 258 230 ±1 393	282.8±2.8	0.2	—
	$p_{T}(1$ st $\mu^{\pm}) > 40$ GeV	$319~975~\pm~510$	141.6±2.0	0.3	—
Sebastian	$p_T(1st e^{\pm}) > 32 \text{ GeV}$	$1\ 838\ \pm\ 44$	125.9±1.9	2.9	—
Fielschmann	$p_{T}$ (2nd $e^{\pm}$ ) > 7 GeV	$184.9\pm$ 14.8	113.7±1.8	8.4	0.7
utline	$\sum p_T^{\ell} > 230 \text{ GeV}$	15.1± 4.3	85.7±1.6	22.0	4.9
utine	HT' > 200  GeV	6.1± 2.3	60.3±1.3	24.3	6.4
PV SUSY	HT' > 300  GeV	3.4± 1.7	56.6±1.3	30.7	8.1
PV scenarios	HT' > 400  GeV	$\lesssim 1$	52.6±1.2		

► Z<sub>0</sub> with 50% background uncertainty

cut	$S/\sqrt{B}$	Zo	Zplh	ZP	Zw	Z <sub>Bi</sub>
before cuts	0.2	-	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
$p_{T}(1$ st $\mu^{\pm}) > 40$ GeV	0.3	-	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2
$p_{T}(1st e^{\pm}) > 32 \text{ GeV}$	2.9	-	2.1	2.9	2.9	2.1
$p_{T}$ (2nd $e^{\pm}$ ) > 7 GeV	8.4	0.7	5.5	7.6	7.7	5.5
$\sum p_T^{\ell} > 230 \text{ GeV}$	22.0	4.9	8.8	14.5	14.5	8.8
HT' > 200  GeV	24.3	6.4	7.8	13.9	14.0	7.8
HT' > 300  GeV	30.7	8.1	7.9	15.2	15.2	8.1

reconstruction Summary and Outlook





### BC 1: Cut Flow scaled to $\int Ldt = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ Delphes simulation

RPV mSUGRA	cut	tī	all SM	BC 1	$S/\sqrt{B}$	Zo
with 7 LSP	before cuts	155 500	2 260 000	283	0.2	_
	$p_{T}(1$ st $\mu^{\pm}) > 40$ GeV	16 700	320 000	142	0.3	_
Sebastian	$p_T(1st e^{\pm}) > 32 \text{ GeV}$	1 500	1 800	126	2.9	_
leischmann	$p_{T}$ (2nd $e^{\pm}$ ) > 7 GeV	166	185	114	8.4	0.7
utline	$\sum p_T^{\ell} > 230 \text{ GeV}$	13.6	15.1	86	22.0	4.9
itine	HT' > 200  GeV	5.1	6.1	60	24.3	6.4
PV SUSY	HT' > 300  GeV	3.4	3.4	57	30.7	8.1
PV scenarios	HT' > 400  GeV	$\lesssim 1$	$\lesssim 1$	53		

Event Selection

R

- Parameter Scans and discovery potential
- Estimation of Stau Invariant Mass
- Tau reconstruction
- Summary and Outlook

### Backup

22 55 universitätbonn

- ► Even at √s = 7 TeV it is possible to select (nearly) background free samples at high signal efficiency
- QCD contribution assumed to be negligible





### BC 1: Number of objects per event after ATLAS standard object selection and overlap removal; Delphes detector simulation, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV





### BC 2: Number of objects per event after ATLAS standard object selection and overlap removal; Delphes detector simulation, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

