LHC Physics

What can be done in the first years

- 1. LHC, ATLAS, CMS
- 2. Status of Construction
- 3. Commissioning
- 4. Early SM Physics
- 5. The Road to Discovery
- 6. Summary & Outlook

Klaus Desch University of Freiburg Matter To The Deepest Ustron, 12/09/2005







Status: Where are we?

Status: LHC - in pictures

Upstream transport line T12 ready and under vacuum

more than 80 dipoles installed in tunnel

First cryodipole lowered 07/03/05

interconnection work



Official LHC installation schedule - a piece of art



Status: LHC - in words

- 75% of dipoles produced, very good quality
- ~ 80 dipoles installed in tunnel
- cryogenics problem solved revised installation plan implemented
- plan: terminate installation in February 2007
- cryogenics + dipole installation on critical path to be ready for beam in Summer 2007



Status: CMS



Status: ATLAS

UX15 Jura Sun Sep 4 15:00:01 2005



Status: ATLAS



Status: Summary

- LHC construction advancing well cryogenics problem overcome tight installation schedule plan to be ready for beam in June 07
- ATLAS construction of components (almost) finished installation in pit advancing very well
- CMS civil engineering in pit finished HCAL and Muon systems well advanced Magnet assembled Crystal production and tracker integration on critical path

Endcap ECAL and Pixels will be installed in first shutdown (2007-08)

Comissioning

<u>Machine:</u> What luminosity may we expect when?

Detectors:

GOAL: Best possible "day 1" performance

Challenges:

- Alignment of tracking devices (Pixels, Strips, TRT, Muon Chambers)
- Calibration of Calorimeters
- Trigger/DAQ
- Software comissioning
- Computing comissioning The GRID

<u>Stages:</u>

- 1. Fabrication control
- 2. Test beam programs
- 3. Cosmics
- 4. Single Beam
- 5. Physics Comissioning (colliding beams)

LHC startup

No really 'official' statements	Lyn Evans:	
Achieve luminosity as fast as possible with most careful protection of machine and detectors	"Difficult to speculate further on what the performance might be in the first year. As always CERN departments will do their best."	
A possible planning scenario (M. Lamont, 06/05), shown at HEP05:		



Comissioning

Phase 1: Hardware fabrication control

Achieve best possible uniformity of detector response by very tight fabrication tolerances

Example: absorber thickness in ATLAS Endcap ECAL:



Phase 2: Testbeam

ATLAS example: combined test beam of modules of all detector components

Example: response of ECAL:



Phase3: Comissioning with Cosmics



First cosmic event in UX15!! Barrel TileCal is complete in the cavern.

Single tower trigger

First cosmic events observed



Need ~3 months of cosmics running (during cooldown of machine)

- → 100 muons/cell in ECAL
 - check ECAL timing to 1ns
 - check alignment w.r.t other comp.: <1mm
 - check response uniformity vs $\eta : 0.5\%$

Comissioning

Expected day 1 performance		to be improved by
ECAL uniformity	~1%(ATLAS),4%(CMS)	min bias, Z→ee,W→ev
e/γ scale	1-2%	Z→ee
HCAL uniformity	2-3%	single π, QCD jets
jet energy scale	<10%	Z+jets, W→qq in top
Tracking alignment	20-500 <i>μ</i> m	tracks, isol.μ, Ζ→μμ

(F. Gianotti)

Early Physics

Establish signals from "known" SM processes to

- calibrate the detector
- estimate backgrounds for new physics from data

Early Physics

Process	Rate [Hz] at L=10 ³³	Events on tape (10fb ⁻¹)
W→ev	15	108
Z→ee	1	107
††	1	106
minimum bias	10 ⁸	107
QCD jets p _T >150 GeV	10 ²	107
bb	10 ³	107
gluinos, m=1TeV	0.001	10 ³
Higgs, m=130 GeV	0.02	104

Statistical errors negligible in most cases after few days Focus on systematics: understand detector, luminosity, theory



Very Early Physics: the first three minutes...



Energy dependence of dN/dη? Vital for tuning Underlying Event model Important of Jet-Energy, Etmiss Only requires a few thousand events.



PYTHIA models favour ln²(s);

• PHOJET suggests a ln(s) dependence.

Early Physics: Top quark without b-tag

Extremely simple selection:

- Use goldplated semileptonic events: tt→bWbW→blvbqq
- Require 1 isolated lepton (p_T→20 GeV)
- Exactly 4 jets (p_{T>}40 GeV)
- no kinematic fit, no b-tagging (!)
- plot invariant mass of 3 highest $p_{\rm T}$ jets

Signal visible after few days at 10³³

- stat. error on $m_{top} \sim 400 \text{ MeV}$ after one week
- ∆m_{top} = 7 GeV
 (assuming 10% b-jet-scale error)
- use for jet energy calibration
- ideal to commission b-tagging!
- study most important BG to searches



Early Physics: Top quark with b-tag

Once b-tagging is established, very clean tt samples can be obtained Ratio of single/double b-tags to obtained b-tag efficiency from data



Study p_T of tt system





The Road To Discovery

What can be done with the first 10-30 fb⁻¹?



"This could be the discovery of the century. Depending, of course, on how far down it goes."

SM Higgs

SM Higgs discovery is guaranteed over the whole theoretically possible mass range. Multitude of production mechanisms: and decay modes:





main sensitivity from lepton/photon modes $H \rightarrow bb$ only in association with tt,W,Z **Discovery channels:** $\gamma\gamma$, $qq\tau\tau$, ttbb for light Higgs $ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ for heavier Higgs

Light SM-Higgs: Challenge and Opportunity



Later, this turns into an advantage: 3 different channels provide robustness

of signal and allow for extraction of Higgs properties (\rightarrow D. Zeppenfeld)

Main current activities: improve on detector simulation, study backgrounds (MC@NLO, SHERPA), strategies to get background from data

Heavy SM Higgs

For m_H > 180 GeV, early discovery relatively easy in H \rightarrow 4l channel



- very moderate backgrounds
- width soon dominated by natural width of Higgs (\rightarrow measure Γ_{tot})
- H→WW→lvlv has higher rate but no mass peak (not ideal for discovery)



Supersymmetry

no need to repeat, why SUSY is good for us - let's see if it is out there ...

LHC: sensitive to all known SSB scenarios within MSSM (mSugra, GMSB, AMSB, RPV) Dominant production modes in MSSM with R-Parity conservation: strong production of gluinos and squarks: $gg, q\overline{q}, qq, qg \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}\tilde{g}$ cross sections comparable to QCD at same scale

Production of EW-interacting sparticles through Drell-Yan, but typically too low cross-sections/ too large BG from W/Z

Normally: gluinos, squarks = heaviest sparticles

 \rightarrow long decay chains, quite complex final states



- jets
- leptons
- LSPs

With R-parity converved, large missing transverse energy is the inclusive signature for SUSY

- establish signal there first (?)
- then look for more exclusive features
- then try to extract parameters

Supersymmetry: inclusive E_T^{miss} signature



Supersymmetry: inclusive E_T^{miss} signature

But watch out...

it is well known that parton showers underestimate the high PT region



Currently complete background estimation is redone

Using ME approach where possible inclusive SUSY signal less clear in this channel

May lower reach slightly Lepton channels much more robust



Get the background from data



SUSY: leptonic signatures



less vulnerable to

- SM backgrounds
- ETmiss calibration

very robust discovery channel mass reach somewhat lower





If strong diplepton edge present this an "early discovery" channel!

current activities:

- use multi-jet ME and MC@NLO Monte Carlos to refine background estimates
- develop strategies to obtain backgrounds from data
- exploit further channels (tau's and b's)
- strategies to calibrate and understand Etmiss
- control QCD background fake Etmiss (filter strategies)
 Note:

sensitivity studies and parameter scans less important than optimal preparation for day 1!

SUSY Higgs

- VBF channels provide full coverage for lightest SUSY-Higgs h with 30 fb⁻¹
- like in SM, not an easy task



SUSY Higgs: heavy Higgs bosons

Additional (heavy) SUSY Higgs bosons:

production~tan² β

Neutral H/A:

 $H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$, $\mu \mu$ at large tan β

Intermediate $\tan\beta$ region not accessible except if SUSY decays $(H/A \rightarrow \chi_2^0 \chi_2^2)$ open

Charged Higgs:

Production $gb \rightarrow H^{+}t, gg \rightarrow H^{+}tb, t \rightarrow H^{+}b$

Decays: tb, τv , SUSY, H⁰W

Intermediate tanβ region not accessible except if SUSY decays

Need high luminosity, no "early" physics unless tanß very large (getting constrained from Tevatron)



Extra space dimensions: large

ADD model of large compactified ED's

Quasi-continous tower of Gravitons

Singature: Missing transverse energy from real Gravitons emission



Very challening:

- structureless excess of Etmiss
- only visible as tail in Etmiss distr.
- huge W+jets, Z+jets backgrounds

Probably no "early" physics

Reach in M_D (true scale of grav.) for 100 fb⁻¹ for δ extra dimensions:



Extra space dimensions: warped

Randall-Sundrum model
Discrete, narrow Graviton resonances
Singature: e.g. G→ll
May be an "early surprise"
With BR(G→ee)=2%, c=0.01 discovery reach
0.9 - 1.25 TeV for 1.2 - 8 fb⁻¹
Later: cover all of interesting parameter space







Early surprises: Di-Lepton resonances

Di-Lepton resonances appear in many extensions of the SM (GUT models, Graviton-Resonances in RS model, Z_H in Little Higgs, ...)

Isolated leptons (e,μ) can be nicely indetified – small SM background at high masses



Early Surprises: LFV

Example: search for lepton flavour violation in $\tau \! \rightarrow \! \mu \mu \mu$ decay

Huge number of clean τ 's from $W \rightarrow \tau v$



With 30 fb^{-1} : BR<4×10⁻⁸

BaBar: BR<2x10⁻⁷

Summary and Outlook

- Construction of LHC, ATLAS, CMS is advancing very well
- First collisions in only 2 years from today
- Understanding the detectors and backgrounds has the highest priority
- Already with 10-30 fb⁻¹ great discovery potential!
- My personal guess: winter conferences 2009 may be beginning of a new revolution in physics!

Thanks to all colleagues from the LHC machine, ATLAS,CMS who provided me with information,

in particular M. Heldmann, M. Hauschild, K. Jakobs, G. Polesello

Light SM-Higgs: (Backup)









Early Physics: p.d.f.s from W-bosons

Structure Functions from W charge asymmetry



Early Physics: Calibrating ECAL with $Z \rightarrow ee$

Constant term $c_{tot} = c_L + c_{LR}$ composed of two terms:

- c_L : local term. $c_L \simeq 0.5\%$ demonstrated at the test-beam over units of $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.2 \times 0.4$
- c_{LR} long-range response non-uniformities from unit to unit (400 in total): from module-to-module variations, different upstream material, etc.

Use $Z \rightarrow ee$ and Z mass constraint to correct for long-range uniformities From full simulation: $\sim 250 \ e^{\pm}$ per unit to achieve $c_{LR} \leq 0.4\%$

 $\Rightarrow \sim 10^5 \ Z \rightarrow ee$ events, few days of data-taking at 10^{33}

Worst case scenario: no corrections applied

 $c_L = 1.3\%$ "on-line" non uniformity of individual modules $c_{LR} = 1.5\%$ no $Z \rightarrow ee$ corrections, poor knowledge of upstream material *G*. Polesello

RPV SUSY

Odd Ball:R parity broken?

Note that missing energy is not needed



I Hinchliffe

